

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/813,524	GODSHAW ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Nathan J. Newhouse	3727	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** Allowed(1) Nathan J. Newhouse.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jon Nelson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 January 2006**Time:** 12:30**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE****SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed applicant's amendment under 37 CFR 1.312 with respect to whether the instant application is a continuation or continuation in part of serial no. 10/339,902. It was confirmed that the instant application is a continuation in part of app. serial no. 10/339,902. A corrected filing receipt indicating this will be forthcoming..